



## 7. Exercise Sheet 7

Upload: 10.06.2026

Deadline: 17.06.2026, 13:15 Uhr

### Exercise 7.1 (12)

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$  be the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{5} & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{10} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{3}{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (i) Show that 1 is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , that it is the largest eigenvalue in modulus, and that it is simple.
- (ii) Determine or estimate the second largest eigenvalue in modulus  $\lambda_2$ .
- (ii) Let  $q = |\lambda_2|$  and let

$$\pi = \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

be the invariant distribution of  $A$ . Show that there exists  $C > 0$ , such that for any probability vector  $\sigma \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^4$  satisfying

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4 = 1,$$

the following holds true

$$\|A^n \sigma - \pi\| \leq Cq^n.$$

### Solutions.

- (i) An explicit computation, using the fact that  $\sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} = 1$ , shows that  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$  is an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue 1. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ , and let  $0 \neq \phi \in \text{Ker}(A - \lambda)$  be an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ . Let  $0 \neq |\phi_i| = \max\{|\phi_j| : j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}\}$ . Then one has

$$|\lambda| |\phi_i| = |\lambda \phi_i| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} \phi_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} |\phi_j| \leq |\phi_i| \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} = |\phi_i|. \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) implies that  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . Moreover, if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then Eq. (1) implies that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} \phi_j \right| = \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ij} |\phi_j|. \quad (2)$$

Hence, there exists  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $\phi_j = |\phi_j| e^{i\theta}$ . The second inequality in Eq. (1) implies that  $A_{ij} |\phi_j| = |\phi_i| A_{ij}$ , and hence  $|\phi_j| = |\phi_i|$ . Therefore,  $\phi = z(1, 1, 1, 1)$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , which implies that  $\lambda = 1$ .

The above shows that 1 is the eigenvalue of  $A$  with largest modulus. Moreover, it also proves that if  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(A - 1)$ , then  $\phi \in \langle (1, 1, 1, 1) \rangle$ . Thus,

$$\text{Ker}(A - 1) = \langle (1, 1, 1, 1) \rangle.$$

Let us show that 1 is a simple eigenvalue. Define  $V := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^4 : \sum_{j=1}^4 \sigma_j = 0\}$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{C}^4 = \text{Ker}(A - 1) \oplus V.$$

Moreover,  $V$  is  $A$ -invariant. Indeed, if  $v \in V$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 (Av)_j = \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{ji} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 v_i \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{ji} = \sum_{j=1}^4 v_j = 0. \quad (3)$$

One has  $\text{Ker}(A - 1) = \text{Ker}((A - 1)^2)$ . Indeed, take  $\phi \in \text{Ker}((A - 1)^2)$  and write  $\phi = v + w$ , with  $v \in \text{Ker}(A - 1)$  and  $w \in V$ . Since  $v \in \text{Ker}(A - 1)$ , one has

$$0 = (A - 1)^2 \phi = (A - 1)^2 w.$$

Since  $V$  is  $A$ -invariant,  $(A - 1)w \in \text{Ker}(A - 1) \cap V = \{0\}$ , and hence  $w \in \text{Ker}(A - 1) \cap V = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $\phi = v \in \text{Ker}(A - 1)$ . Since

$$\text{Ker}(A - 1) = \text{Ker}((A - 1)^2),$$

it follows that 1 is a simple eigenvalue.

(ii) Let us take  $\alpha = \{\pi, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ , with

$$u_2 = (1, 0, -1, 0), \quad u_3 = (1, -1, 1, -1), \quad u_4 = (1, -1, -1, 1).$$

Then one obtains

$$[A]_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Then the modulus of the second largest eigenvalue is given by

$$|\lambda_2| = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(B)\} \leq \|B^n\|_{op}^{1/n} \leq \|B^n\|_\infty^{1/n}. \quad (5)$$

Taking  $n = 2$ , one obtains the estimate

$$q = |\lambda_2| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}.$$

(iii) First, we note that  $A$  is diagonalizable. Indeed, Eq. (4) gives that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$p_A(z) = (z - 1) \left( z^3 - \frac{1}{5}z^2 - \frac{1}{25}z + \frac{2}{125} \right) = (z - 1)q(z).$$

One checks that the roots of  $q'$  are  $1/5$  and  $-1/15$ , and none of them is a root of  $q$ . Therefore,  $p_A$  has simple roots, and hence  $A$  is diagonalizable. Let  $1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Consider  $\theta := \{\pi, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4\}$ , a basis of eigenvectors of  $A$ . For  $k \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , one has  $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda_k) \subset V$ . Indeed, if  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(A - \lambda_k)$ , then

$$\lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^4 \phi_j = \sum_{j=1}^4 (A\phi)_j = \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{i=1}^4 A_{ji} \phi_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i \sum_{j=1}^4 A_{j,i} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i. \quad (6)$$

Since  $\lambda_k \neq 1$ , it follows that  $\phi \in V$ . Therefore,

$$V = \bigoplus_{k=2}^4 \text{Ker}(A - \lambda_k). \quad (7)$$

If  $\sigma \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^4$  satisfies  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4 = 1$ , then  $\sigma - \pi \in V$ . Hence, Eq. (7) implies that

$$\sigma - \pi = \sum_{j=2}^4 \mu_j \phi_j, \quad \mu_j \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (8)$$

Then one obtains

$$\|A^n \sigma - \pi\| = \|A^n(\sigma - \pi)\| \leq \sum_{j=2}^4 |\mu_j| |\lambda_j|^n \|\phi_j\| \leq Cq^n, \quad (9)$$

where

$$C := \sup\{|\mu_1| + |\mu_2| + |\mu_3| : (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in P[I]_\beta^\theta(S)\} \sum_{j=2}^4 \|\phi_j\|.$$

Here  $S = \{\sigma \in [0, 1]^4 : \sum_{j=1}^4 \sigma_j = 1\}$ ,  $[I]_\beta^\theta$  is the change-of-basis matrix, with  $\beta$  denoting the standard basis, and  $P : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ ,  $P(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .

### Exercise 7.2 (6)

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $(T, \mathcal{D})$  be a densely defined closed operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $W \geq 0$  be a finite-rank operator. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$T_\lambda := T + \lambda W. \quad (10)$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consider the operator  $(B(z, \lambda), \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  given by

$$B(z, \lambda)(\phi \oplus \psi) = ((T - z)\phi + W^{1/2}\psi) \oplus (W^{1/2}\phi - \lambda^{-1}\psi). \quad (11)$$

Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection onto the second component,

$$P : \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \quad P(\psi \oplus \phi) = 0 \oplus \phi,$$

and let  $P^\perp := I - P$ , that is,

$$P^\perp(\psi \oplus \phi) = \psi \oplus 0.$$

- (i) Prove that the kernels of  $B(z, \lambda)$  and  $T_\lambda - z$  are isomorphic.
- (ii) Assume that  $z \notin \sigma(T)$ . Show that the Feshbach-Schur map  $F_P(B(z, \lambda))$  exists, and compute it explicitly.
- (iii) Deduce that, whenever  $z \notin \sigma(T)$ ,  $z \in \sigma_p(T_\lambda)$  if and only if

$$-1 \in \sigma\left(\lambda W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2}\right). \quad (12)$$

## Solution.

(i) Let us consider the linear transform

$$\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \quad \Phi(\phi) = \phi \oplus \lambda W^{1/2}\phi.$$

If  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(T_\lambda - z)$ , then  $(T + \lambda W - z)\phi = 0$ . Thus,

$$B(z, \lambda)\Phi(\phi) = (T - z)\phi + \lambda W^{1/2}W^{1/2}\phi \oplus 0 = 0, \quad (13)$$

that is,  $\Phi(\phi) \in \text{Ker}(B(z, \lambda))$ . Conversely, if  $\phi \oplus \psi \in \text{Ker}(B(z, \lambda))$ , then, by definition,  $\psi = \lambda W^{1/2}\phi$  and

$$0 = (T - z)\phi + W^{1/2}\psi = (T - z + \lambda W)\phi.$$

Therefore,  $\phi \in \text{Ker}(T_\lambda - z)$  and  $\Phi(\phi) = \phi \oplus \psi$ . Since  $\Phi$  is clearly injective, it follows that

$$\Phi : \text{Ker}(T_\lambda - z) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(B(z, \lambda))$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) Note that

$$P^\perp B(z, \lambda)P^\perp(\phi \oplus \psi) = P^\perp B(z, \lambda)\phi \oplus 0 = P^\perp((T - z)\phi \oplus W^{1/2}\phi) = (T - z)\phi \oplus 0.$$

Since  $z \notin \sigma(T)$ ,  $P^\perp B(z, \lambda)P^\perp = (T - z) \oplus 0$ , restricted to  $\text{Ran}(P^\perp) = \mathcal{D} \oplus 0$ , is boundedly invertible, and

$$(P^\perp B(z, \lambda)P^\perp)^{-1}P^\perp = (T - z)^{-1} \oplus 0.$$

Thus, the Feshbach–Schur map exists and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_P(B(z, \lambda)) &= PB(z, \lambda)P - PB(z, \lambda)P^\perp(P^\perp B(z, \lambda)P^\perp)^{-1}P^\perp B(z, \lambda)P \\ &= 0 \oplus (-\lambda^{-1}) - 0 \oplus W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2} \\ &= 0 \oplus -(\lambda^{-1} + W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Hence,

$$\text{Ker}(B(z, \lambda)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}(F_P(B(z, \lambda))) = \text{Ker}(\lambda^{-1} + W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2})$$

are isomorphic.

(iii) By items (i) and (ii), one has that  $\text{Ker}(T_\lambda - z)$  and

$$\text{Ker}(\lambda^{-1} + W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2})$$

are isomorphic. Therefore,  $z \in \sigma_p(T_\lambda)$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T_\lambda - z)$  is nontrivial, if and only if

$$\text{Ker}(\lambda^{-1} + W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2})$$

is nontrivial, if and only if

$$-1 \in \sigma_p(\lambda W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2}) = \sigma(\lambda W^{1/2}(T - z)^{-1}W^{1/2}).$$

### Exercise 7.3 ( 6 )

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open, connected set and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Consider

$$T : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H),$$

an analytic function such that  $T(z)$  is a compact operator for all  $z \in \Omega$  and that there exists  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $1 \notin \sigma(T(z_0))$ . Consider the set

$$N_T := \{z \in \Omega : 1 \in \sigma(T(z))\}. \quad (15)$$

(i) Show that  $\Omega \setminus N_T \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an open set and that the map

$$(1 - T)^{-1} : \Omega \setminus N_T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H), \quad (16)$$

is an analytic function.

(ii) For  $\zeta \in \Omega$  write  $T(\zeta) = F_\zeta + Q_\zeta$  with  $F_\zeta$  finite rank operator and  $\|Q_\zeta\|_{op} < 1$ . Show that there exists  $r > 0$  such that for all  $z \in D(\zeta, r) \subset \Omega$ ,  $\|Q(z)\|_{op} \leq 1$  where  $Q : D(\zeta, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$Q(z) = T(z) - F_\zeta. \quad (17)$$

Conclude that  $1 - T(z)$  is invertible if and only if  $1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}$  is invertible.

(iii) Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\text{Ran}(F_\zeta)$ . Show that the Feshbach-Schur map  $F_P(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})$  exists. Conclude that  $N_T$  is a discrete set.

(iv) Prove that for all  $\zeta \in N_T$  there exists  $r > 0$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\{P_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty$  bounded operators with  $P_n$  finite rank operator for  $n < 0$ , such that for all  $z \in D(\zeta, r) \setminus \{\zeta\}$  one has

$$(1 - T(z))^{-1} = \sum_{n=n_0}^\infty (z - \zeta)^n P_n. \quad (18)$$

### Solution.

(i) Consider  $z \in \Omega \setminus N_T$ . Then, by definition,  $1 - T(z)$  is invertible. Let  $\zeta \in \Omega$ . Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - T(\zeta) &= 1 - T(z) - (T(\zeta) - T(z)) \\ &= [1 - (T(\zeta) - T(z))(1 - T(z))^{-1}](1 - T(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Since  $T$  is analytic, and in particular continuous, there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\|T(\zeta) - T(z)\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(1 - T(z))^{-1}\|_{op}^{-1}, \quad \zeta \in D(z; r). \quad (20)$$

Then Eqs. (19), (20), and the Neumann series imply that, for all  $\zeta \in D(z, r)$ , the operator  $1 - T(\zeta)$  is invertible. Hence  $D(z, r) \subset \Omega \setminus N_T$ , and therefore  $\Omega \setminus N_T$  is open. One easily checks that

$$1 - T : \Omega \setminus N_T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

is analytic and that

$$\frac{d}{dz}(1 - T(z))^{-1} = (1 - T(z))^{-1}T'(z)(1 - T(z))^{-1}.$$

- (ii) Let  $\zeta \in \Omega$ . Since  $T(\zeta)$  is compact, there exists a finite-rank operator  $F_\zeta$  and an operator  $Q_\zeta \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $\|Q_\zeta\|_{op} < 1$  such that

$$T(\zeta) = F_\zeta + Q_\zeta.$$

For all  $z \in \Omega$ , one then has

$$Q(z) := T(z) - F_\zeta = T(z) - T(\zeta) + T(\zeta) - F_\zeta = T(z) - T(\zeta) + Q_\zeta. \quad (21)$$

Since  $T$  is continuous, there exists  $r > 0$  such that, for all  $z \in D(\zeta; r) \subset \Omega$ ,

$$\|T(z) - T(\zeta)\| < 1 - \|Q_\zeta\|_{op}.$$

Thus, by Eq. (21), one obtains

$$\|Q(z)\| < 1, \quad z \in D(\zeta; r).$$

In particular, for all  $z \in D(\zeta; r)$ , the operator  $1 - Q(z)$  is invertible, and one has

$$1 - T(z) = 1 - Q(z) - F_\zeta = (1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})(1 - Q(z)). \quad (22)$$

Therefore,  $1 - T(z)$  is invertible if and only if  $1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}$  is invertible.

- (iii) Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\text{Ran}(F_\zeta)$ , and let  $P^\perp = 1 - P$ . Then

$$P^\perp(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})P^\perp = P^\perp,$$

which, restricted to  $\text{Ran}(P^\perp)$ , is invertible with inverse  $I_{\text{Ran}(P^\perp)}$ . Thus, the Feshbach–Schur map

$$F_P(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})$$

exists and is given by

$$F_P(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}) = P - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P. \quad (23)$$

Using item (ii), one obtains that  $1 - T(z)$  is invertible if and only if  $1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}$  is invertible, if and only if

$$F_P(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})$$

is invertible. In other words, for  $z \in D(\zeta; r)$  one has

$$1 \in \sigma(T(z)) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p(z) := \det(P - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Since  $\Omega$  is connected, there exist finitely many points

$$z_0 = \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n = \zeta$$

in  $\Omega$ , and radius  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n$ , such that

$$D(\zeta_j; r_j) \cap D(\zeta_{j+1}; r_{j+1}) \neq \emptyset$$

and, for all  $z \in D(\zeta_j; r_j) \subset \Omega$ ,

$$1 \in \sigma(T(z)) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p_j(z) := \det(P_j - F_{\zeta_j}(1 - Q_{\zeta_j}(z))^{-1}P_j) = 0. \quad (25)$$

If  $N_T$  has an accumulation point at  $\zeta \in \Omega$ , then Eq. (25) implies that the set of zeros of the analytic function

$$p_n : D(\zeta; r_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

has an accumulation point. Hence  $p_n(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in D(\zeta; r_n)$ . Then, Eq. (25) implies

$$1 \in \sigma(T(z)), \quad z \in D(\zeta; r_n) \cap D(\zeta_{n-1}; r_{n-1}) \neq \emptyset,$$

which implies (together with Eq. (25)) that the set of zeros of  $p_{n-1}$  has an accumulation point then

$$p_{n-1}(z) = 0, \quad z \in D(\zeta_{n-1}; r_{n-1}).$$

Repeating the above argument, inductively, one obtains

$$p_0(z) = 0, \quad z \in D(z_0; r_0).$$

Therefore  $1 \in \sigma(T(z_0))$ , which contradicts the hypothesis. This implies that  $N_T$  is discrete.

(iv) For  $\zeta \in N_T$ , take  $r > 0$  such that

$$D(\zeta, r) \setminus \{\zeta\} \subset \Omega \setminus N_T$$

and such that Eq. (24) is satisfied. Then, for all  $z \in D(\zeta, r) \setminus \{\zeta\}$ , the operator  $1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}$  is invertible, and

$$(1 - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1})^{-1} = (P - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P)^{-1}(P + F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P^\perp) + P^\perp. \quad (26)$$

Since

$$(P - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P)^{-1} : \text{Ran}(F_\zeta) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(F_\zeta)$$

is a meromorphic function, with a pole at  $\zeta$ , one has

$$(P - F_\zeta(1 - Q(z))^{-1}P)^{-1} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (z - \zeta)^n R_n \quad (27)$$

for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some operators

$$R_n : \text{Ran}(F_\zeta) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(F_\zeta).$$

Moreover, all the other functions appearing in Eq. (26) are analytic on  $D(\zeta; r)$ . Also,  $(1 - Q(z))^{-1}$  is analytic on  $D(\zeta; r)$ . Therefore, Eqs. (22), (26), and (27) imply the result.