



4. Exercise Sheet 4

Upload: 13.05.2026

Deadline: 20.05.2026, 13:15 Uhr

Exercise 4.1 (6)

Let

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Compute the diagonal form of B , if B is diagonalizable, or otherwise compute the Jordan normal form of B and the eigenprojections of B .

Solution. The eigenvalues of B are $\{1, 2\}$. Hence, B is diagonalizable, and its diagonal form is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every $z \notin \{1, 2\}$, the resolvent of B is given by

$$(B - z)^{-1} = (1 - z)^{-1}(2 - z)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 - z & -3 \\ 0 & 1 - z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the positively oriented curves defined by

$$\gamma_1(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{it}, \quad \gamma_2(t) = 2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{it}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (B - z)^{-1} dz &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (z - 1)^{-1} dz & 3 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (1 - z)^{-1}(2 - z)^{-1} dz \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1} (z - 2)^{-1} dz \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} (B - z)^{-1} dz &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} (z - 1)^{-1} dz & 3 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} (1 - z)^{-1}(2 - z)^{-1} dz \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_2} (z - 2)^{-1} dz \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the eigenprojections of B are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4.2 (6)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be nonempty and open, and let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space. A map

$$A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is called weakly analytic if, for every trace-class operator $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$, the function

$$f_\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f_\rho(z) := \text{Tr}\{\rho A(z)\},$$

is analytic (in the sense of complex analysis).

Show that $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is analytic if and only if $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly analytic.

Solution. Let us recall that a trace-class operator $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded operator such that, for every orthonormal basis $\{\phi_n\}$ of \mathcal{H} , one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle \rho \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle| < \infty. \quad (2)$$

For $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$, one defines

$$\text{Tr}(\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \rho \phi_n, \phi_n \rangle, \quad (3)$$

which is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. Moreover,

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = \text{Tr}(|\rho|).$$

Let us first prove the identity

$$\|A\|_{op} = \sup \left\{ |\text{Tr}(\rho A)| : \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})} = 1 \right\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (4)$$

Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy $\|\phi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$. Define the rank-one operator $\rho_{\phi, \psi}(h) = \langle \phi, h \rangle \psi$. Then $\rho_{\phi, \psi}$ is trace class and satisfies $\|\rho_{\phi, \psi}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = 1$. Moreover, $\text{Tr}(\rho_{\phi, \psi} A) = \langle \phi, A\psi \rangle$. Hence,

$$|\langle \phi, A\psi \rangle| \leq \sup \left\{ |\text{Tr}(\rho A)| : \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})} = 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|A\|_{op} = \sup \left\{ |\langle \phi, A\psi \rangle| : \|\phi\| = \|\psi\| = 1 \right\} \leq \sup \left\{ |\text{Tr}(\rho A)| : \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})} = 1 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand,

$$|\text{Tr}(\rho A)| \leq \text{Tr}(|\rho A|) \leq \|A\|_{op} \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1},$$

which implies

$$\sup \left\{ |\text{Tr}(\rho A)| : \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})} = 1 \right\} \leq \|A\|_{op}. \quad (6)$$

\Rightarrow Suppose that $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is analytic. Let $z_0 \in \Omega$. Since $\rho A'(z_0)$ is the limit of trace-class operators, it follows that

$$\rho A'(z_0) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f_\rho(z_0 + h) - f_\rho(z_0)}{h} - \text{Tr}(\rho A'(z_0)) \right] \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{h} [\rho A(z_0 + h) - \rho A(z_0)] - \rho A'(z_0) \right) \\
&= \text{Tr} \left(\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{h} (\rho A(z_0 + h) - \rho A(z_0)) - \rho A'(z_0) \right] \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here we used the continuity of the map

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H}) \ni \rho \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho).$$

Therefore, f_ρ is analytic and

$$f'_\rho(z_0) = \text{Tr}(\rho A'(z_0)).$$

\Leftarrow Let $z_0 \in \Omega$, and let $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ be a closed curve surrounding z_0 . For $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$, since f_ρ is analytic, Cauchy's formula yields

$$\frac{f_\rho(z_0 + h) - f_\rho(z_0)}{h} - f'_\rho(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \left[\frac{1}{h} \left(\frac{1}{z - (z_0 + h)} - \frac{1}{z - z_0} \right) - \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \right] f_\rho(z) dz. \tag{8}$$

Using the estimate

$$|f_\rho(z)| = |\text{Tr}(\rho A(z))| \leq \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \|A(z)\|_{op},$$

Eq. (8) implies

$$\left| \text{Tr} \left[\rho \left(\frac{A(z_0 + h) - A(z_0)}{h} \right) \right] - f'_\rho(z_0) \right| \leq \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \sup_{z \in \Gamma} \|A(z)\|_{op} \int_\Gamma \frac{|h|}{|z - z_0 - h| |z - z_0|^2} |dz|. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, for every $\rho \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|\rho\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = 1$, the family

$$\left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\rho \left(\frac{A(z_0 + h) - A(z_0)}{h} \right) \right] \right\}_{h>0}$$

is Cauchy uniformly in ρ .

Hence, by Eq. (5), the family

$$\left\{ \frac{A(z_0 + h) - A(z_0)}{h} \right\}_{h>0}$$

is Cauchy in the operator norm. Consequently, it converges, and therefore A is analytic.

Exercise 4.3 (12)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open and connected with $0 \in \Omega$, let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space, and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a dense subspace. A mapping

$$(A, \mathcal{D}) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{H}]$$

is called an analytic family of type A if $\mathcal{D}(\theta) = \mathcal{D}$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$ and

- (a) For every $\theta \in \Omega$, the operator $(A(\theta), \mathcal{D})$ is closed and $\rho[A(\theta)] \neq \emptyset$.

(b) The map

$$A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$$

is analytic, where the Hilbert space

$$(\mathcal{D}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}})$$

is equipped with the graph inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} := \langle A(0)\varphi, A(0)\psi \rangle + \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle.$$

Now let

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{D} := H^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, define

$$[U(\theta)\psi](x) := e^{-\theta/2}\psi(e^{-\theta}x)$$

and

$$[T(\theta)\psi](x) := \psi(x - \theta).$$

(i) Show that $U(\theta)$ and $T(\theta)$ are unitary for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) Compute

$$A(\theta) := U(\theta) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) U(\theta)^*$$

and

$$B(\theta) := T(\theta) \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) T(\theta)^*$$

for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) Show that there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$$

admits an extension

$$A : S_r \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$$

to an analytic family of type A on the strip

$$S_r := \mathbb{R} + i(-r, r),$$

and compute the spectrum $\sigma[A(\theta)]$ for every $\theta \in S_r$.

(iv) Show that there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$$

admits an extension

$$B : S_r \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$$

to an analytic family of type A on the strip

$$S_r := \mathbb{R} + i(-r, r),$$

and compute the spectrum $\sigma[B(\theta)]$ for every $\theta \in S_r$.

Solution.

(i) Consider the operators $U(\theta)^*, T(\theta)^* \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ defined by

$$[U(\theta)^*\psi](x) = e^{\theta/2}\psi(e^\theta x), \quad [T(\theta)^*\psi](x) = \psi(x + \theta). \quad (10)$$

One has $U(\theta)^*U(\theta) = U(\theta)U(\theta)^* = I$ and $T(\theta)T(\theta)^* = T(\theta)^*T(\theta) = I$. Moreover, for all $\psi, \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, one obtains

$$\langle \psi | U(\theta)\phi \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\psi(x)} e^{-\theta/2} \phi(e^{-\theta}x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{e^{\theta/2}\psi(e^\theta x)} \phi(x) dx = \langle U(\theta)^*\psi | \phi \rangle_{L^2}. \quad (11)$$

The second equality follows by the change of variables $y = e^\theta x$. Similarly,

$$\langle \psi | T(\theta)\phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\psi(x)} \phi(x - \theta) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\psi(x + \theta)} \phi(x) dx = \langle T(\theta)^*\psi | \phi \rangle_{L^2}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, $T(\theta)^*$ and $U(\theta)^*$ are the adjoints of $T(\theta)$ and $U(\theta)$, respectively, and hence they are unitary.

(ii) By definition, $(U(\theta)^*\phi)(x) = e^{\theta/2}\phi(e^\theta x)$. For $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, one has

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} U(\theta)^*\phi \right) (x) = -e^{\theta/2} e^{2\theta} \phi''(e^\theta x). \quad (13)$$

Thus,

$$(A(\theta)\phi)(x) = e^{-\theta/2} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} U(\theta)^*\phi \right) (x) = -e^{2\theta} \phi''(e^\theta x) = \left(-e^{2\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi \right) (x). \quad (14)$$

Hence, for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, one has $A(\theta)\phi = -e^{2\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi$. Since both operators are closed in the domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is dense, it follows that

$$A(\theta) = -e^{2\theta} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}.$$

Similarly, $B(\theta) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$.

(iii) Define $(A, \mathcal{D}) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[L^2(\mathbb{R})]$ by $\mathcal{D}(z) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$A(z) := e^{2z} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right). \quad (15)$$

The spectrum satisfies

$$\sigma(A(z)) = e^{2z} \sigma \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) = \{re^{2z} : r \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

In particular, $\rho(A(z)) \neq \emptyset$. For $\psi \in H^2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h^{-1}(A(z+h)\psi - A(z)\psi) - 2ze^{2z} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq |h^{-1}(e^{2(z+h)} - e^{2z}) - 2ze^{2z}| \left\| \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right) \psi \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq |h^{-1}(e^{2(z+h)} - e^{2z}) - 2ze^{2z}| \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Therefore,

$$\|h^{-1}(A(z+h) - A(z)) - 2ze^{2z} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})} \leq |h^{-1}(e^{2(z+h)} - e^{2z}) - 2ze^{2z}| \rightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

Thus, $A : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{H})$ is analytic, and (A, \mathcal{D}) is an analytic family of type A .

(iv) One sets $B(z) = B(0) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, it is clear that $\sigma(B(z)) = \sigma(B(0)) = [0, \infty)$.