Harmonic Analysis Homework Sheet 11

Exercise 11.1

Assume $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ is a linear non-negative (i.e., in particular self-adjoint) operator in some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose, we knew that a Hörmander spectral multiplier theorem held for this operator such as the following

Theorem 0.1. Let $\sigma > 0$, fix $0 \not\equiv \omega \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and suppose F is a bounded and measurable function on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\omega(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$
(1)

Then F(A) is $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ bounded for all 1 .

Now, let $\Phi: [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ be a smooth, compactly supported function such that

 $\Phi(\lambda) = 1$ for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and $\Phi(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \ge 2$.

For a dyadic number $N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we define

$$\Phi_N(\lambda) = \Phi(\lambda/N)$$
 and $\Psi_N(\lambda) = \Phi_N(\lambda) - \Phi_{N/2}(\lambda) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+).$

We see that $\{\Psi_N(\lambda)\}_{N\in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ constitutes a partition of unity for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Using these functions, we define the standard Littlewood–Paley projections (via the L^2 functional calculus) as

$$P_N := \Psi_N(\sqrt{A})$$
.

Using these projections, prove

Theorem 0.2 (Square function estimates). Let s > 0 and $1 . Assume <math>k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that 2k > s. Then we have

$$||A^{\frac{s}{2}}f||_{p} \sim \left\| \left(\sum_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} |N^{s/2}(P_{N})^{k}f|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{p}$$

for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Exercise 11.2

Suppose $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is such that $-\Delta + V$ can be realized as a linear, densely defined operator on $\mathcal{D}(-\Delta) \cap \mathcal{D}(V)$. Formally establish the following Duhamel formula,

$$e^{\Delta} - e^{-(-\Delta+V)} = \int_0^1 e^{(1-s)\Delta} V e^{-s(-\Delta-V)} ds$$

(Hint: The fundamental theorem of calculus may be helpful.)

Exercise 11.3

Using the three-lines lemma, establish the following Phragmén–Lindelöf

Lemma 0.3. Let F be holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) > 0\}$ and assume the bounds

$$|F(re^{i\theta})| \le a_1(r\cos\theta)^{-\beta}$$
$$|F(r)| \le a_1r^{-\beta}\exp(-a_2r^{-\alpha})$$

for some $a_1, a_2 > 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, $0 < \alpha \le 2$, all r > 0, and $|\theta| < \pi/2$. Then, the estimate

$$|F(re^{i\theta})| \le a_1 2^{\beta} (r\cos\theta)^{-\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}a_2\alpha r^{-\alpha}\cos\theta\right)$$

holds for all r > 0 and $|\theta| < \pi/2$.